



COWAN  
DEBAETS  
ABRAHAMS &  
SHEPPARD LLP

41 MADISON AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10010  
t: 212 974 7474  
f: 212 974 8474  
www.cdas.com

SCOTT J. SHOLDER  
212 974 7474  
ssholder@cdas.com

JEAN ALBERT  
FREDERICK P. BIMBLER  
SUSAN H. BODINE  
ANDREA F. CANNISTRACI  
XAVIER J. CORREA  
AL J. DANIEL, JR. <sup>①</sup>  
TIMOTHY J. DEBAETS  
MATTHEW A. KAPLAN\*  
ELEANOR M. LACKMAN  
ELLIS B. LEVINE  
STEVEN MASUR  
JANIS C. NELSON\*  
MARY E. RASENBERGER ♦  
JOSHUA B. SESSLER ♦  
J. STEPHEN SHEPPARD ♦  
MARC H. SIMON  
KENNETH N. SWEZEEY \*  
NANCY E. WOLFF \*\*  
  
ZEHRA J. ABDI  
DAVID E. ASHLEY\*  
JEFFREY M. LAWHORN\*  
DAVID J. MAZUR  
SIMON N. PULMAN\*  
SCOTT J. SHOLDER\*  
JOSHUA S. WOLKOFF\*  
  
OF COUNSEL:  
ANNE C. BAKER<sup>①</sup>  
ROBERT I. FREEDMAN  
JERROLD B. GOLD  
  
SPECIAL COUNSEL:  
ROBERT J. EPSTEIN  
ALEX GIGANTE

PHILIP M. COWAN  
(1943-2001)  
HOWARD ABRAHAMS  
(1945-1996)

\* ADMITTED IN CA  
① ALSO ADMITTED IN CT  
♦ ALSO ADMITTED IN DC  
\* ALSO ADMITTED IN NJ  
① ALSO ADMITTED IN LA  
① ALSO ADMITTED IN AR & DC  
+ ALSO ADMITTED IN CA & PA

February 24, 2016

**VIA ECF**

Hon. Marilyn D. Go, U.S.M.J.  
United States District Court  
Eastern District of New York  
225 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, NY 11201

**Re: Credit Suisse (Luxembourg) S.A. v. Art Partner Inc., No. 1:15-cv-06891-RRM-MDG**

Dear Judge Go:

We represent defendant Art Partner Inc. ("Defendant") in the above-captioned action. Defendant was served with the summons and complaint of plaintiff Credit Suisse (Luxembourg) S.A. ("Plaintiff") on December 7, 2015, and the original deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint was on December 28, 2015. On December 23, 2015, Defendant, with Plaintiff's consent, requested that the Court extend Defendant's time to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint until January 29, 2016 (see Dkt. No. 8), which the Court granted. On January 20, 2016, Defendant, again with Plaintiff's consent, requested that the Court extend Defendant's time to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint until February 29, 2016 (see Dkt. No. 9), which the Court also granted.

Plaintiff has consented to a further extension of time for Defendant to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the complaint until March 29, 2016 to allow the parties to continue discussions in hopes of resolving this case. Such an extension will not affect any other dates, as no dates have yet been scheduled by the Court. Defendant hereby respectfully requests that the Court so order this third extension of time.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott J. Sholder

cc: All Counsel (via ECF)